

IPR: Over and Above System (OASYS), Phase II Deployment

June 8, 1999

OASYS Phase II Deployment - briefed by Herman Louie, Project Officer and Bill Lonstein, Functional Sponsor, to Donna McKalip, Acquisition Team Chief.

Others present: Jeff Webb, Infrastructure Architecture Team Chief, Donna Faulhaber, IT Operations Team Chief, Tory Baggiano (Analyst), Jim Broadus (Analyst), and Sybil Cole (Analyst).

IPR Minutes (*taskings in blue italics- 4*):

Overview

- Herman introduced/addressed the three OASYS briefing charts (attached)- **Status Summary Chart** (included Test, Requirement, Budget/AIS Training, Schedule and Security Accreditation Information), **FY 99 AIS Training Requirements Chart**, and **Phase II Deployment Timeline Chart**. The FY99 POM Justification was also included in the briefing Handouts for reference.
- Donna M. complimented Herman on the charts, but stressed that she would like the *standard Quad Chart* to be used for all future IPRs to serve as a one- page summary of Project Cost/Schedule and Performance Status.
- Donna F. questioned the function of the "Over and Above" contract payment system.
- Per Herman/Donna M., OASYS is a stand-alone system (currently used at Aircraft Maintenance Depots) designed to capture/track payments to Contractors that are "over and above" the original projected contract amount. These costs are necessary to cover services that cannot be foreseen at the time of contract origination.
 - Per Bill, OASYS "flows" the document to the Functionals for review. Additionally, there will be "over and aboves" in Maintenance, Overhaul and Repair contracts and money is set aside as CLINS within the contract. DCMC negotiates the over and aboves with CLIN money. OASYS serves as a Government database and tool to manage the process
- Phase II, Version 2.4.2, increases system functionality over Phase I, Version 1.8.7 (already deployed to 8 sites) and will replace Phase I at the current sites plus 11 additional sites (OASYS Timeline attached).

Cost/AIS Training

- Per Herman, OASYS FY99 Funding requirements total \$826k (\$ 659k- Operations, \$167k AIS Training).
- Donna F. asked if the remaining \$25k budgeted for PRC Support (originally \$398k less \$373k Obligated to date) could be assigned to another Budget line item.
 - Herman confirmed that the funding could be re-assigned.
- Per Herman, 100k projected for FY99 AIS Training (\$70k for DCMDI Users, \$30k for APMO Staff) will be returned.
- Per Herman, provided updated AIS Training requirements to Donna F. on 5/26/99 that totals \$63,490 (attached).
- Per Donna F., believes the total for FY99 OASYS AIS Training is \$67k (all for DCMDE), and will verify.
 - **Per Donna following the IPR:** Per the latest AIS training report - we show \$64,823 identified for OASYS and asked Herman whether to adjust to his submission of \$63,490.
 - **Per Herman, following the IPR:** Leave it as your AIS training report shows. I am not that confident that all travel costs submitted were captured and we will do a reconciliation with you early August. In worst case this will involve \$1,400 and we will still have two month's time frame for FY99 Training travel budget to execute
- Per Tory, believes the ITJSG approved the action in FY00 for Project Managers to maintain their own AIS Travel Budget to eliminate the problem of the Districts charging to the "mission critical" account number (has caused problems with AIS Training Execution Reporting Accuracy)..
 - Herman stressed that there is a need for a clear process to track AIS Training.
 - Per Donna F., currently not aware of an official decision concerning the FY00 AIS Training Process.
- Per Donna F./Sybil, it is imperative that AIS Training and travel (AIS related and non-related) be projected and adjusted on a monthly basis for the IT Operations Team to perform effective AB Budget Management.

- Per Tory, the vision is to have the scheduled tasks, travel and AIS Training data in a single MS Project file to be maintained by each Project Manager (already successful on some projects).
- Donna M., Donna F. agreed.
- *In order to easily adjust and keep current OASYS Project data, Donna M. tasked Herman (with analyst support) to consolidate OASYS Phase II schedule/AIS Training and Travel data into a single MS Project file by COB 6/16/99.*
- *Donna M. tasked Herman (per Mike Williams' request) to compile an OASYS Project Manager Notebook containing pertinent OASYS data by COB 6/25/99.*

Testing (see Status Summary Attachment)

- Per Herman, Version 2.4.2 received Functional Test certification on 5/7/99.
- Per Herman, Operational Testing completed/certified at Hill AFB on 5/28/99.
- Per Jeff, Per Mike Williams, the OT is now referred to as the Environmental Test (ET) as the final testing in Phoenix is now referred to as the OT.
- **Donna M. disputed the justification for this terminology as it contradicts the definition of "Operational Testing" as it is defined in the Military Standard 5000.2 Regulation.**
- Jeff asked if OASYS will perform a Phoenix OT.
- Per Donna M./Herman, **Mike W. waived the mandatory Phoenix Testing as it will undergo Functional Testing in Atlanta to test added OCONUS functionality** (currency conversion and foreign address/phone number tracking), and verify CONUS Functionality.
 - Jeff questioned the reason for the Atlanta location vs. Manassas.
 - Per Herman, the OASYS technical personnel/expertise is located in Atlanta.
 - Jeff agreed the location for the Atlanta Govt Acceptance Test (projected for July 99) made sense.
Projected for Aug/Sep time frame -HL
 - Per Donna F., when Herman knows when the Atlanta Test will take place, must submit/justify travel.
- *Donna M. tasked Herman to submit/justify the need for OASYS FY99 Travel for the Atlanta Test as soon as he knows the dates of the Test.*

Security Accreditation

- Donna M. asked the status of Security Accreditation on Phase I and II.
- Per Herman, Phase II is projected (per Don Mutispaugh) to receive final Security Accreditation by 7/30/99, **but Phase I has not been accredited.**
- *Donna tasked Herman to research with Don Mutispaugh the Security Implications for OASYS Phase II sites that will/have received OASYS Phase II prior to Security Accreditation on 7/30 (may need Interim Authority to Operate Documentation- IATO) by COB 6/9/99.*

New Version

- Donna asked Bill L. if requirements necessitate the need for another version of OASYS, (Maintenance Depots that perform maintenance on items other than aircraft).
- Per Bill, currently only OASYS Phase II is planned. A new version could be initiated/POMed after evaluating Phase II Benefits/New Requirements.